# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE:	§	
	§	
SKYPORT GLOBAL	§	CASE NO. 08-36737-H4-11
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	§	(Chapter 11)
Debtor	§	
Joanne Schermerhorn, John K. Waymire, Chet Gu	ıtowsky,	§
John Llewellyn, Joseph A. Lopez, Robert Foote, I	BLF	§
Partners, Ltd., ECAL Partners, Ltd, Whiz Kid Ventures,		§
LLC, Bella Krieger, Martin Pollak, Gloster Holdings,		§
LLC, Melvyn Reiser, Barry Klein, Yecheskel Kah		§
A. Rees, Brian W. Harle, MD, Michael Stein, Lav		§
Solomon, Tracy Elstein & David Togut, Jason Ch		§
Togut Trust, BMT Grantor Trust, Lynn Joyce Elst		§
Trust, Charles Stack, Joseph Baker, Movada, Ltd.	•	§
Ltd., Draco Capital, Inc., Edward Pascal, Robert N		§
Stanley Beraznik, Don Dui, Ben Ariano, 3790168		§
Inc., Peter Taylor, John E. Panneton, Wayne C. Fox,		§
David Currie, Byron Messier, Darshan Khurana, Mateo		§
Novelli, Diya Al-Sarraj, Sequoia Aggressive Grov		<b>§</b>
Fund, Ltd., Sequoia Diversified Growth Fund, Ltd.		<b>§</b>
Rig III Fund, Ltd., Aran Asset Management SA, S	•	§
Gestion SA, and Eosphoros Asset Management, In	nc.,	8
Plaintiffs		<ul><li>§</li><li>§</li><li>§</li><li>ADVERSARY NO. 10-3150</li></ul>
NO		§ ADVERSARY NO. 10-3150
VS.		g ADVERSART NO. 10-3130
CenturyTel, Inc. (a/k/a CenturyLink), Clarence		§ 8
Marshall, R. Stewart Ewing, Jr., Michael E. Maslo	weki	§ §
Harvey P. Perry, Robert Kubbernus, Balaton Group, Inc.,		§
Bankton Financial Corporation, Bankton Financia	•	8
Corporation, LLC, ClearSky Management, Inc.,	.1	§ §
Wilson Vukelich, LLP, and ClearSky Investments	LP.	§
Defendants	, _, ,	§
		U

### <u>DEFENDANTS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SET HEARING</u> (Refers to Docket #39)

TO THE HONORABLE JEFF BOHM, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

The above-captioned Defendants<sup>1</sup> hereby object to the Plaintiffs' "Motion for Setting" ("Motion") [Docket #39] filed by Plaintiffs, showing unto the Court as follows:

#### **OBJECTION TO MOTION**

- 1. A hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider May 27 would only confuse the issues already set on that day. The factual issues related to the Motion to Reconsider are different from the issues to be addressed as a legal matter on the Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment, Motion to Remand, or Motion to Bifurcate. Likewise, the trial of the Motion to Reconsider would require a different degree of evidence and preparation.
- 2. Moreover, a hearing on May 27 would require responses and preparation in less than 21 days. This is not sufficient time to prepare an evidentiary record. The Court has not been given sufficient evidence to grant emergency consideration of the motion. All evidence is that the emergency is one of Plaintiffs' own making. It is axiomatic that one cannot seek emergency consideration for an emergency of one's own creation.
- 3. Defendants attach and incorporate by reference their Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider. Defendants ask that the Court consider those arguments in deciding whether it is prudent to hold a hearing on May 27 on the Motion to Reconsider.

\_

Except Wilson Vukelich, LLP, which is a Canadian law firm doing business in Canada, Respondents to Plaintiffs' Motion are CenturyTel, Inc. (a/k/a CenturyLink), Clarence Marshall, R. Stewart Ewing, Jr., Michael E. Maslowski, Harvey P. Perry, Robert Kubbernus, Balaton Group, Inc., Bankton Financial Corporation, Bankton Financial Corporation, LLC, ClearSky Management, Inc. and ClearSky Investments, LP.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Defendants pray that the Court deny Plaintiffs'

Motion to Set Hearing and grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

DATED: May 18, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

MCKOOL SMITH P.C.

By: /s/ Hugh M. Ray, III

HUGH M. RAY, III State Bar No. 24004246 ROBERT M. MANLEY State Bar No. 00787955 600 Travis, Suite 7000 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 485-7300

Facsimile: (713) 485-7344

## ATTORNEYS FOR ALL DEFENDANTS (EXCEPT WILSON VUKELICH, LLP)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the above and foregoing were forwarded on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010, via the ECF system to all parties on the ECF service list and by U.S. first class mail, postage prepaid, to all counsel noted below:

Plaintiffs' Counsel:

Eric Fryar The Fryar Law Firm 1001 Texas Ave, Ste 1400 Houston, TX 77002-3194

Samuel Goldman Samuel Goldman & Assoc. 100 Park Ave 20<sup>th</sup> FL New York, NY 10017

Harold B. Obstfeld Harold B. Obstfeld, P.C. 100 Park Ave 20<sup>th</sup> FL New York, NY 10017 Wilson Vukelich, LLP:

David R. Jones Elizabeth Freeman Porter & Hedges, LLP 1000 Main Street, 36<sup>th</sup> FL Houston, TX 77002 (Subject to special appearance)

/s/ Hugh M. Ray, III HUGH M. RAY, III

DEFENDANTS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SETTING

Dallas 301895v1 3